## https://imimsociety.net/en/14-cryptography

Public Key Infrastructure - PKI Viešojo Rakto Infrastruktūra - VRI

 $\mathcal{A}$  : ( $\Pr K_A$ ,  $\Pr K_A$ )  $B: (Prk_{B}, Puk_{B})$ M - message to be signed M ~ 1GB Hash and sign paradigm:  $h = H(M); |h| \sim 256$  Bits  $\prec$  SHA 256  $Sig(PrK_A,h) = 5$  $M, s, PuK_A \rightarrow h = H(M)$ 2) Ver(Puk, s, h)={True False ) If Ver = True, then signature S is formed using A's private key PFKA which corresponds (is mathemotically related) with A's public key Puks.  $ECDSA : PrK_A = X, |X| \sim 256 bits$  $x \sim 2^{256}$  and  $P_{U}K_{A} = x \cdot G = A \leftarrow P_{U}K_{A} = g^{x} \mod p = \alpha$ Jo: (Prkz, Pukz) Pukz Dear Bob I am A and I am sending you my public key Rublic Key Infrastoricture - PKI CA = (PFKCA, Pulkca) It is as notarius office Certification Anthority - CA => Registration Authorities - RA Verisign Trustad Third Party - TTP = all users recognizes RAI RAZ RAZ ----Pukca recognized by the users https://verysign.com browsets; Chrome, Opeta ....

A: Puk<sub>A</sub> --- RA <u>confirms A</u> identity, Puk<sub>A</sub> CA: (Prk<sub>CA</sub>, Puk<sub>CA</sub>) Cert<sub>A</sub> = s<sub>cA</sub> = Sign(Prk<sub>CA</sub>, H(Puk<sub>A</sub>ll...)) Certa, Pukca A: Ver (Pukca)=True; Ver (Pukca, Certa) = True;  $A: M \rightarrow h = H(M)$ B: Pukca, Puka  $Sig(PrK_A, h) = S \quad M, S, PuK_A$ Certa 1) Ver (Pukca, Certz) = True  $_{2})h = H(M)$ 3) Ver (Ruk, s, h) = True . X509 v3 Standard . SerialNumber ID . Issuer } Verisign . notBefore 2020.11.10;18:10:07 2021.11.10;18:10:07 2020.10.10; 18:10:11 2020.11,10; 18:10:11 . notAfter · Subject } A • Algorithm } ECDSA 18:10:12  $SubjectPublicKey \} ( Pu K_{A})$  extensions Zo: (PrKz, RuKz); Certz. L - loan message -> h = H(L)  $Sig(PrK_z, h) = S$  $\frac{L_{9}S, P_{U}K_{2}}{Cert_{z}} \xrightarrow{B: 7} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3}$ Money transfet to pay % dor the Coan my loan contract is invalid since at the time you've veceived it my certificate validity term expired.

CA services: CRL - Certificates Revocation List OCSP-On-Cine Certificates status Protocol 4) Verify if Certz is not in certification revolation list 5) If validity of Certz is not expired.

## Certificates Revocation List - CRL:

Is a list of <u>digital certificates</u> that have been revoked by the issuing <u>certificate authority</u> (CA) before their scheduled expiration date and should no longer be trusted.

There are two different states of revocation defined in RFC 5280:

### Revoked

A certificate is irreversibly revoked if, for example, it is discovered that the certificate authority (CA) had improperly issued a certificate, or if a private-key is thought to have been compromised. Certificates may also be revoked for failure of the identified entity to adhere to policy requirements, such as publication of false documents, misrepresentation of software behaviour, or violation of any other policy specified by the CA operator or its customer. The most common reason for revocation is the user no longer being in sole possession of the private key (e.g., the token containing the private key has been lost or stolen).

#### Hold

This reversible status can be used to note the temporary invalidity of the certificate (e.g., if the user is unsure if the private key has been lost). If, in this example, the private key was found and nobody had access to it, the status could be reinstated, and the certificate is valid again, thus removing the certificate from future CRLs.

A CRL is generated and published periodically, often at a defined interval. A CRL can also be published immediately after a certificate has been revoked. A CRL is issued by a CRL issuer, which is typically the CA which also issued the corresponding certificates, but could alternatively be some other trusted authority. All CRLs have a lifetime during which they are valid; this timeframe is often 24 hours or less. During a CRL's validity period, it may be consulted by a PKI-enabled application to verify a certificate prior to use.

To prevent <u>spoofing</u> or <u>denial-of-service attacks</u>, CRLs usually carry a <u>digital signature</u> associated with the CA by which they are published. To validate a specific CRL prior to relying on it, the certificate of its corresponding CA is needed.

The certificates for which a CRL should be maintained are often X.509/public key certificates, as this format is commonly used by PKI schemes.

From <<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Certificate\_revocation\_list</u>>

### • On-line Certificates Status Protocol - OCSP:

is an <u>Internet protocol</u> used for obtaining the revocation status of an <u>X.509 digital certificate</u>.<sup>[1]</sup> It is described in RFC 6960 and is on the <u>Internet standards</u> track. It was created as an alternative to <u>certificate revocation lists</u> (CRL), specifically addressing certain problems associated with using CRLs in a <u>public key infrastructure</u> (PKI).<sup>[2]</sup> Messages communicated via OCSP are encoded in <u>ASN.1</u> and are usually communicated over <u>HTTP</u>. The "request/response" nature of these messages leads to

OCSP <u>servers</u> being termed *OCSP responders*. Some <u>web browsers</u> use OCSP to validate <u>HTTPS</u> certificates.

- Since an OCSP response contains less data than a typical certificate <u>revocation list</u> (CRL), it puts less burden on network and client resources.<sup>[3]</sup>
- Since an OCSP response has less data to <u>parse</u>, the client-side <u>libraries</u> that handle it can be less complex than those that handle CRLs.<sup>[4]</sup>
- OCSP discloses to the responder that a particular network host used a particular certificate at a particular time. OCSP does not mandate encryption, so other parties may intercept this information.<sup>1</sup>

From <<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Online\_Certificate\_Status\_Protocol</u>>

# Qualified and Non-qualified certificates

mathes with Is valid a cording to contract between parties e-signature law Eureka EU e-domment system 2008 m. - 2009 m. 1 4 4 Genatto Sagem 800 000 € 1200 000 € Z~7.400 000 Time stamping Authority - TSA - Trusted Third Party (TTP)  $\mathcal{A}$ : L - loan contract  $\rightarrow h = H(L)$  $Sig(PrK_A, h) = S \xrightarrow{h, S, PuK_A} TSA: (PrK_{TS}, PuK_{TS}), Cert_{TS}.$ PUKCA 1. Ver(Puk, Cert) = True 2. Ver (Ruka, s, h) = True 3. DT = JYJY, MM. DD; hh; mm; ss 4. hrs=H(h, s, DT, Pukis, Certis)  $\frac{DT, S_{TS}}{Pu k_{TS}, Cert_{TS}} 5. Sig(Prk_{TS}, h_{TS}) = S_{TS}$ A: 2. Verifies DT 2. Verifies validity of Certis

3.  $h_1 = H(h_1, s_2, DT, Pu K_{Ts}, Cert_{Ts})$ 4. Ver  $(P_{U}K_{TS}, S_{TS}, h_1) = True \implies If h_1 = h_{TS}$  $B:(PrK_B, PuK_B)$ PULCA A: L, S, Puka, Certa DT, 5, Pukts, Cert 15 1. Ver (Pukca, Cert 15) = True 2. Ver (Puk GA, Cert )= True 3.  $h = H(L), h_{TS} = H(h, S, DT, Ruk_{TS}, Cert_{TS})$ 4. Ver (Puk Ts, STs, hTs) = True 5. Ver(PukA, S, h) = True 6. OCSP : to verify that not After < DT -= Jes 7. CRL: do the Certy is not revoked - Not money transfer 1:10 TSA fraud --> Prevention using Blockchain TSA TS3 TS2 Data H, H1 Data, Data, STS,1 5 15,2 STS,3

TSn+1  $H(TS_n) = H_n$  $\begin{array}{c|c} DT_{n+1} \\ H(H_n || DT_{n+1}) = h_{n+1} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{H} :$ Vert(Rika, SA, hn+1) Puka Sz= Sign(Prka, hn+1) Datan+1  $H(h_{n+1} \| S_A) = H_{n+1}$ SA Sign(P-KTS, Hn+1)=STS Business operational control system - BOCS IoT - smart meters; Block chain - Smart contracts